Subject No. 162A.

Partial cultivation under tanks not full.

Summary of Representation.—When tanks do not receive their full supply, some quantity of water must be reserved for drinking purposes and for the cultivation of only a portion of the lands thereunder.

Withdrawn.

Subject No. 163.

Revenue authorities may be empowered to help khatedars in recovering rent etc, from Saguvalidars.

(a) Mr. Sivarajapur Subbaraya Bhattaru.
„ Sarja Manjappa, Tirthahalli Taluk.
„ Nadig Sivappa, Sorab.

Summary of Representation.— (a) In Malnad Taluk, the saguvalidars enter into no written agreements with the khatedars in a majority of cases for cultivating their lands. If the saguvalidars be in possession of the lands continuously for more than 12 years they establish their hak to the lands as mulagenidars. On the strength of their long possession they not only fail to pay the annual rent to the khatedars but alienate their saguvali hak to others, for their own debts, besides selling crops. The khatedars having no means of recovering the rent or taking over possession of their lands have to resort to the Civil Courts. This procedure occupies a long time during which period the property will be allowed to deteriorate resulting in a considerable loss to the khatedars. It is therefore requested that Revenue authorities may be empowered to deal with such cases so as to afford necessary relief to the khatedars in recovering the rent by means of coercive measures and taking possession of their lands from defaulting saguvalidars. Mr. Nadig Sivappa was of opinion that Amildars may be authorized in this behalf.

(b) Shikmidars and other enjoyers of land to be held responsible for arrears of kandayam due on their shares, the present practice of proceeding against the entire survey number being prejudicial to the khatedar.

Reply of Government.—The Revenue Commissioner will be asked to report, if any remedial measures are called for.

Subject No 163A.

Amildars to take cognizance of complaints of lands, &c. usurped.

Mr. Amble Annaiya Pandit.
„ K. Venkatasubbaiya, Mysore District Fund Board.

Summary of Representation.—Lands, pathways and hickals, belonging to helpless females, minors and ignorant raiyats are usurped by influential men. It is requested that a rule may be laid down empowering Amildars to take cognizance of such cases and to dispose of them after local enquiry.

Withdrawn.

Subject No. 164.

Revival of the practice of payment of kandayam to Taluk or District Treasuries.

Mr. Srinivasa Rao, Mysore.

Summary of Representation.—Under the revised rules all payments of Revenue are to be made to the patel of the village in which the land is situated. This rule while holding good in the case of those owning lands in one village tells hard upon the raiyats owning in two or three villages. Prays that the old order of making payment to the District or Taluk Treasuries may be revived.

Messrs. Hussain Ali Beg, Balakrishna Rao, Srinivasa Rao, Annaiya Pandit, Sivappa, Guru Rao, Balavanta Rao

and several others from all parts of the State made a representation on this subject.

Mr. Hussain Ali Beg said that the rule allows for special cases only. A general rule would be better.

Mr. Balakrishna Rao said that the raiyats be made to send the Taluk receipt to shanbhog.

Mr. Annaiya Pandit said that at least the payment may be allowed to be made to the same Taluk Treasury.

Reply of Government—The rule will be changed so as to allow payments being made in the Treasuries of Taluks in which the lands are situated.

Subject No. 165.

Revision of rules in regard to payment of kandayam.

Mr. Swamirayachar, Local Fund Member, Chitaldrug.

Summary of Representation.—Under Rule 91 of the Revised Land Revenue Rules all payments on account of land revenue are to be made generally to the patel of the village and in special cases with the permission of the Deputy Commissioner such payments may be made into the District or Taluk Treasuries. Consequently, khatedars of lands of a village living far away from it are greatly inconvenienced and put to unnecessary extra cost in arranging for payment of kandayam to the village patel either by means of money-order or otherwise. The old rules on the point afforded every facility to make the payment into any Treasury. The latter may be substituted for the former.

Reply of Government.—*Vide* reply to Subject No. 164.

Subject No. 166.

Reduction of demand notice fees.

Mr. Doddegowda of Krishnarajpete Taluk.

Summary of Representation.—The fees of 4 annas and 8 annas prescribed for the service of demand notices are high. A uniform fee of 4 annas is desirable.

Reply of Government.—The reduction is not expedient.

Subject No. 167.

Introduction of plain rules about remission of kandayam.

Mr. Venkataswamaiya of Chamarajnagar.
„ Venkatakrishnaiya,
„ Narasimhaswami,
„ Madappa,
„ Hasan Ali Beg, Mysore City Municipality.

Summary of Representation.—Although it is mentioned in the Revenue Rules that raiyats are entitled to obtain remissions of Land Revenue, the circumstances under which such remissions can be claimed, are not expressly stated. This may be done. In certain years crops fail owing to the want of rain or failure of tanks. Under such circumstances the complaints of the raiyats are not attended to. Some plain rules may be introduced. The remission of kandayam of any one year may be settled before the end of the next year.

Mr. Hussain Ali Beg mentioned the case of lands in Kesare Village, Mysore Taluk, for which water was reduced by the opening of the Vanivilas works.

Reply of Government.—Individual cases will be investigated separately, if properly represented. The Deputy Commissioner will be asked to find out the cause of delay in the case of lands in the Kesare Village mentioned by the member.